UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,701	05/24/2004	Tracey R. Thomas	57824.0200	3700
	7590 04/20/2019 t L.L.P. (AMEX)	EXAMINER		
ONE ARIZONA	A CENTER	MONFELDT, SARAH M		
400 E. VAN BUREN STREET PHOENIX, AZ 85004-2202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3684	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/20/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

HSOBELMAN@SWLAW.COM DMIER@SWLAW.COM JESLICK@SWLAW.COM

Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed: 4 and 35 Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed Examiner's Amendment to claim 4 to correct antecedent basis and to amend claim 35 to recite non-transitory computer- readable medium. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
All Participants: Status of Application: Pending (1) SARAH M. MONFELDT. (2) James M. Hennessee (62,659). (4)	Fxaminer-Initiated Interview Summary	10/709,701	THOMAS, TRACEY R.			
All Participants: Status of Application: Pending (1) SARAH M. MONFELDT. (2) James M. Hennessee (62.659). (4)	Zxammer midated interview Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
(1) SARAH M. MONFELDT. (3)		SARAH M. MONFELDT	3684			
C2) James M. Hennessee (62,659).	All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>				
Type of Interview: Telephonic Time: Telephonic Tideo Conference Telephonic Applicant Applicant's representative)	(1) <u>SARAH M. MONFELDT</u> .	(3)				
Type of Interview: Telephonic Telephonic Telephonic Applicant Applicant's representative)	(2) <u>James M. Hennessee (62,659)</u> .	(4)				
Telephonic Video Conference Applicant Applicant's representative)	Date of Interview: <u>15 April 2010</u>	Time:				
Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed: 4 and 35 Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed Examiner's Amendment to claim 4 to correct antecedent basis and to amend claim 35 to recite non-transitory computer-readable medium. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	 ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No 	cant's representative)				
Claims discussed: 4 and 35 Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed Examiner's Amendment to claim 4 to correct antecedent basis and to amend claim 35 to recite non-transitory computer- readable medium. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part I.					
Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed Examiner's Amendment to claim 4 to correct antecedent basis and to amend claim 35 to recite non-transitory computer- readable medium. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Rejection(s) discussed:					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed Examiner's Amendment to claim 4 to correct antecedent basis and to amend claim 35 to recite non-transitory computer-readable medium. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. (Sarah M Monfeldt/ Examiner, Art Unit 3684)	Claims discussed: 4 and 35					
Substance of Interview Describing the General Nature of What was discussed: Discussed Examiner's Amendment to claim 4 to correct antecedent basis and to amend claim 35 to recite non-transitory computer-readable medium. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. Sarah M Monfeldt/ Examiner, Art Unit 3684						
Discussed Examiner's Amendment to claim 4 to correct antecedent basis and to amend claim 35 to recite non-transitory computer-readable medium. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. //Sarah M Monfeldt/ Examiner, Art Unit 3684	Part II.					
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. //Sarah M Monfeldt/ Examiner, Art Unit 3684	Discussed Examiner's Amendment to claim 4 to correct antecedent basis and to amend claim 35 to recite non-transitory computer-					
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. //Sarah M Monfeldt/ Examiner, Art Unit 3684	Part III.					
Examiner, Art Unit 3684	 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview 					
Examiner, Art Unit 3684						
Examiner, Art Unit 3684						
	/Sarah M Monfeldt/ Examiner, Art Unit 3684	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			